APPENDIX VII

Serial No.: 09/955,064

Docket No.: 49933US032

2002.

Advisory Action mailed from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on October 4,



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademack (History Address: OCHMINNOSIK (F FATENTH AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 1923)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CUNFIRMATION NO.
09/955,604 09/19/2001		Timothy L. Hoopman	49933US032	1214
75	90 10/04/2002	•		
Office of Intellectual Property Counsel 3M Innovative Properties Company		EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 33427		•	LEYSON, JOSEPH S	
St. Paul, MN 5	5133-3427			
		•	ART UNIT	Paper number
•	. 1	·	1772	2
•			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2002	. .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/955,604	HOOPMAN ET AL.			
, , a , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph Leyson	1722			
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars n the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc address			
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2002 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the comment who	cation. A proper reply to a			
	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverset, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three molecular patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE to on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the installutory period for reply originally set in a	the final rejection. EFINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee und the final Office action; or (2) as set forth	of :		
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying	the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of (inally rejected claims.	į		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	r reconsideration has been cons e attached.	ldered but does NOT place the)		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo	will be entered and an ow or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:	•	•			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>23,24,30-32,89,90,92,93,134-136</u>	138-143 and 145-148.	·			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10Other:					
		Attachment			
Patent and Tradomark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/955,604 Art Unit: 1722

1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place this application in condition for allowance because the arguments filed on 23 September 2002 are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that each cavity having a single opening as disclosed the instant claims is not disclosed by the prior art and that Rochlis (-583) discloses a mold with a laminate construction with multiple openings including openings between layers of the laminate mold which are vent openings to allow air or gas to escape. The examiner agrees that Rochlis (-583) discloses a mold with a laminate construction with multiple openings. However, applicants do NOT preclude these openings in the instant claims. Note that the instant claims recite each mold cavity having a single opening. Clearly, each mold cavity in Rochlis(-583) is defined by a single opening. If the mold cavity had multiple openings, then multiple products produced by the multiple openings would be shown in the product. As clearly shown in Rochlis(-583) a single product is produced from each cavity. Therefore, applicant is arguing that the instant claims preclude other openings made by the laminate construction, but the instant claims do not require such limitations.

Applicant argues that Rochlis(-583) does not contain an enabling disclosure of how to make a mold or production tool

Application/Control Number: 09/955,604
Art Unit: 1722

with only a single opening and actually teaches away from the claimed invention. Again, a mold having a single opening is NOT claimed. The instant claims recite each mold cavity having a single opening, as mentioned above.

Applicant argues that Rochlis(-583) emphasizes the importance of the openings between the mating surfaces of the laminations to allow for air or gas to be evolved in the molding or hardening procedure (col. 13, lines 70-73). However, Rochlis(-583) does not disclose that such openings between the mating surfaces to allow for air or gas to be evolved are CRITICAL for the operation of the apparatus.

- 2. The amendment filed on 23 September 2002 only cancels claims, and therefore the rejection of the remaining pending claims has NOT changed.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Leyson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2647. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(8:30-6:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jan Silbaugh can be reached on (703) 308-3829. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

Art Unit: 1722

are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

October 2, 2002

JAN H. SHEWICH

SUPERVISORY STEET STITLER ANT Gen # 1722

10/03/02